Lewis Acids as α -Directing Additives in Glycosylations by Using 2,3-O-Carbonate-Protected Glucose and Galactose Thioglycoside Donors Based on Preactivation Protocol

Yiqun Geng, Qi Qin, and Xin-Shan Ye*

State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic [D](#page-15-0)rugs and School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Xue Yuan Road No. 38, Beijing 100191, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-15-0)STRACT: [Catalytic or st](#page-15-0)oichiometric amounts of Lewis acids were found to be very effective α -directing additives in the stereoselective glycosylations of diverse 2,3-O-carbonateprotected glucose and galactose thioglycoside donors by preactivation protocol. The poor stereoselectivities of 4,6-di-Oacetyl-2,3-O-carbonate protected thioglycoside donors in glycosyl coupling reactions were greatly improved, and excellent α -

stereoselectivities were achieved by the addition of 0.2 equiv of BF_3 ·OEt₂. On the other hand, the β -selectivities of 4,6-di-Obenzyl-2,3-O-carbonate-protected thioglucoside donor toward glycosylations were reversed completely to the α-selectivities by the use of 1 equiv of SnCl₄, making the stereoselectivity controllable. Furthermore, the poor stereoselectivities of 4,6-di-O-benzyl- $2,3$ -O-carbonate-protected thiogalactoside donor in glycosylations were also improved by using SnCl₄ as additive.

NO INTRODUCTION

Synthesis of oligosaccharides and glycoconjugates has a great demand for biological research as well as carbohydrate-based drug and vaccine discovery. Although much progress has been made in this field in the past decades, $1-4$ there are still no general methods for the routine preparation of this type of compounds. The stereoselective introd[ucti](#page-15-0)on of a glycosidic bond is one of the key determinants.⁵ The formation of α/β anomers during glycosylations usually requires a timeconsuming separation process, thus [d](#page-15-0)ecreasing the efficiency of oligosaccharide assembly. Generally, the 1,2-trans glycosidic bond is constructed by the neighboring group participation of an acyl group at C-2 position of a glycosyl donor, while the formation of 1,2-cis linked glycosides remains a difficult task in many cases. The introduction of a nonparticipating neighboring group at C-2 position is insufficient to guarantee stereoselective cis-glycosylation reactions.

To improve the stereoselectivity (especially 1,2-cis-type) of glycosylation reactions, many strategies have been developed. These include the traditional methods such as anchimeric assistance, anomeric effect, in situ anomerization of α -halide,⁶ heterogeneous catalysis,⁷ and the solvent effects of nitriles^{8,9} or ethers.¹⁰ Recent progress in intramolecular agl[y](#page-15-0)con delivery approach,^{11,12} ste[re](#page-15-0)odirecting effects of the conform[atio](#page-15-0)nconstr[ain](#page-15-0)ing protecting groups such as benzylidene,^{13,14} oxazolidi[none,](#page-15-0)^{15,16} carbonate,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and the related mechanism studies,²⁰ as well as the participation of chiral auxiliary [\(](#page-15-0)S[\)-](#page-15-0) (phenylthiom[ethy](#page-15-0)l)benzyl [ether](#page-15-0) through β-sulfonium ion $intermediate²¹$ $intermediate²¹$ $intermediate²¹$ has been also very encouraging. However, there is still no general protocol to guarantee the stereoselectivities [in](#page-15-0) one-pot multistep oligosaccharide assembly, 22 in which thioglycosides are usually employed as glycosyl donors.

The preactivation protocol, 23 which was developed as an effective strategy for iterative one-pot synthesis of oligosac-charides in this laboratory,^{[24](#page-15-0)} was found to have dramatic influences on the stereoselectivies of glycosylations. Our recent work showed that, usin[g](#page-15-0) this protocol, either α - or β-stereoselective glycosylations of 2,3-N,O-oxazolidinone protected glucosamine and galactosamine thioglycoside donors can be modulated just by different additives,^{15d,25} and highly α-selective glycosylations of 3,4-O-carbonate protected 2 deoxy- and 2,6-dideoxythioglycoside do[nors c](#page-15-0)an be also performed.¹⁹ Very recently, Mong and co-workers also reported DMF as a good modulator to effect α -glycosylation by preactivati[on](#page-15-0) procedure.²⁶ Combination of the conformationconstraining protecting group and preactivation protocol could be an effective approac[h](#page-15-0) to stereoselective glycosylations and might find wide applications to the oligosaccharide assembly.

Encouraged by the initial success in glucosamine, galactosamine, 2-deoxysugar, and 2,6-dideoxysugar donors, our next goal was to extend the preactivation-based stereoselective glycosylation protocol to glucose and galactose donors. We wanted to develop an effective method for stereoselective (especially α -selective) glycosylations of thioglucoside and thiogalactoside donors. Considering the significant role played by the conformation-constraining protecting groups, we decided to use 2,3-O-carbonate as a fixed protecting group in our thioglycoside donors, which was reported as an α -directing agent with solvent assistance by the Boons group in $2001¹⁷$ A similar glycosyl donor was reported by the Crich group to show good β -selectivity in the absence of solvent effec[ts.](#page-15-0)^{18c}

Received: January 31, 2012 Published: May 18, 2012

These interesting findings also inspired us to find a way to modulate the α/β selectivities of a single donor by changing additives, like the additive-controlled stereoselective glycosylations of glucosamine and galactosamine donors reported previously by us.^{25b} Herein we report the Lewis acid mediated α -selective glycosylations of 2,3-O-carbonate-protected glucose and galactose th[iogl](#page-15-0)ycoside donors based on preactivation protocol.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, a series of 2,3-O-carbonate protected thioglycoside donors 1−6 (Figure 1) were designed and prepared with

Figure 1. 2,3-O-Carbonate-protected glucose and galactose thioglycoside donors.

different protecting groups at 4,6-OH positions, including the rigid benzylidene group, the electron-donating benzyl group, and the electron-withdrawing acetyl group. Among them, the similar structure of donor 3 was reported to show moderate to excellent β -selectivities toward glycosylations.^{18c}

The synthesis of thioglucoside donors 1−3 started from the same glucose derivative 7^{27} (Scheme 1). Don[or](#page-15-0) 1 was obtained through phosgenation of compound 7 in the presence of pyridine using dichlorometh[ane](#page-15-0) (DCM) as solvent. Compound 7

Scheme 1. Preparation of Thioglucoside Donors $1−3^a$

was treated with sodium hydride (NaH) and p -methoxybenzyl chloride (PMBCl) to provide compound 8. Removal of the benzylidene group in 8 under acidic conditions in DCM/ MeOH (V/V, 1:1) afforded intermediate 9, which was converted to compound 10 by acetylation and compound 12 by benzylation, respectively. Subsequently, the removal of p-methoxybenzyl groups of compound 10 and compound 12 followed by phosgenation led to 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonate protected thioglucoside donor 2 and 4,6-di-O-benzyl-2,3- O-carbonate protected donor 3, respectively. It is worth mentioning that, in the synthetic routes of donors 2 and 3, the p-methoxybenzyl group worked as a temporary protecting group and the precursor of carbonate group, which was somewhat unstable during the protecting group manipulations and was introduced to the target molecules in the last step. In the similar way, thiogalactoside donors 4−6 were prepared starting from galactose derivative 14^{28} (Scheme 2).

With glycosyl donors 1−6 in hand, we first investigated the influence of protecting groups at [4,6](#page-15-0)-OH po[sit](#page-2-0)ions on the stereochemical outcome toward glycosylations. A series of couplings of acceptor 18a²⁹ with diverse donors 1-6 by preactivation protocol were carried out (Table 1). Benzenesulfinyl morpholine/triflic a[nh](#page-15-0)ydride (BSM/Tf_2O) ,³⁰ diphenyl sulfoxide/triflic anhydride $(\text{Ph}_2\text{SO}/\text{Tf}_2\text{O})$,³¹ or b[en](#page-2-0)zenesulfinyl piperidine/triflic anhydride $(BSP/TF_2O)^{32}$ wor[ked](#page-15-0) as the promoter system. It is worth mentionin[g t](#page-15-0)hat a preactivation procedure is necessary for all glycosylation[s b](#page-15-0)ecause we found that none of donors 1−6 could be activated by the BSM (or $Ph₂SO$, $BSP)/Tf₂O$ system when using nonpreactivation procedure. The promoter systems reacted preferentially with the acceptor alcohol due to the disarmed nature of such transcarbonate-protected donors. Each donor was preactivated at low temperature in anhydrous dichloromethane under argon atmosphere, acceptor 18a was then added to the reaction

a Reagents and conditions: (a) triphosgene, Py, CH₂Cl₂, 81% for 1, 76% for 2, 86% for 3; (b) PMBCl, NaH, DMF, 88%; (c) p-TsOH, CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH (1:1), 90%; (d) Ac₂O, Py, DMAP, 100%; (e) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, 97%; (f) BnBr, NaH, DMF, 94%; (g) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (10:1), 88%.

^aReagents and conditions: (a) triphosgene, Py, CH_2Cl_2 , 83% for 4, 75% for 5, 83% for 6; (b) PMBCl, NaH, DMF, 85%; (c) p-TsOH, $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ (1:1), 90%; (d) Ac₂O, Py, DMAP, 100%; (e) TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 93%; (f) BnBr, NaH, DMF, 95%; (g) DDQ, CH_2Cl_2/H_2O $(10:1), 86%$

mixture after the complete consumption of donor as indicated by TLC monitoring. After that, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature to accomplish the glycosidic bond formation. In each case, both the yield and the anomeric ratio were determined based on the isolated products. As shown in Table 1, 4,6-O-benzylidene protected donors 1 and 4 were not able to be activated by any promoters, even at room temperature (entries 1 and 4), due to the strong "disarming" effects of both benzylidene and carbonate groups.18c At −72 °C, 4,6-di-O-acetyl-protected donors 2 and 5 were completely activated only by $\bar{P}h_2SO/Tf_2O$,³³ which is nearly [the](#page-15-0) most powerful promoter for the activation of thioglycoside donors. More reactive 4,6-O-benzy[l p](#page-15-0)rotected donors 3 and 6 were fully activated by BSM/Tf₂O at -72 °C. The glycosylations of acceptor 18a with donors 2, 3, 5, and 6 went well with good yields but poor stereoselectivities except for donor 3, which showed excellent β -selectivity. It seemed that even with closely related structures, donors with different protecting groups at 4,6-OH showed quite different stereoselectivities, which was consistent with the results reported by the Boons¹⁷ and Crich groups.^{18c} Under our preactivation protocol, the more important α -selectivity was not achieved as expected b[y](#page-15-0) using carbonate pro[tect](#page-15-0)ing groups.

However, based on our experiences in developing the additive-controlled stereoselective glycosylation strategy, 25b there is still possibility for us to find suitable additives to improve the poor selectivities of such donors. So our next g[oal](#page-15-0) focused on looking for effective stereoselectivity-directing

Table 1. Glycosylations of Acceptor 18a with Various 2,3-O-Carbonate Protected Glycosyl Donors 1−6

	promoter STol CH ₂ Cl ₂ , -72°C pre-activation 1-6	OН 18a	ОМе BnO 19-22 OMe			
entry	donor	promoter	product	vield	α/β ratio	
$\mathbf{1}$	Ph ² STol	BSM/Tf_2O $\mathbf{BSP}/\mathrm{Tf}_2\mathbf{O}$ Ph ₂ SO/Tf ₂ O	no activation			
$\mathbf 2$	OAc AcO ⁻ STol	$\mathrm{Ph}_2\mathrm{SO}/\mathrm{Tf}_2\mathrm{O}$	OAc AcO ⁻ BnO OMe $19\alpha + 19\beta$	80%	1.5:1	
3	OBn BnO [®] STol	BSM/Tf_2O	OBn BnO [®] BnO _{OMe} 20β	86%	β only	
$\overline{4}$	Ph STol	BSM/Tf ₂ O BSP/Tf_2O Ph ₂ SO/Tf ₂ O	no activation			
5	QA _{SOAc} STol	Ph ₂ SO/Tf ₂ O	OACOAC BnO ÒМе $21\alpha + 21\beta$	81%	2:1	
6	OBDOBn STol	$\text{BSM}/\text{Tf}_2\text{O}$	OBDOBn BnO OMe $22\alpha + 22\beta$	83%	1:1	

Table 2. Effects of Different Lewis Acids on the Stereochemical Outcome in the Glycosylation of Acceptor 18a with Donor 2

 a The yield was determined on the basis of isolated products. b The anomeric ratio was determined by isolated products. c The anomeric ratio was determined by integration of ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product. ^dThe crude product was not isolated furthermore to give the yield.

additives in the glycosylation reactions of 2,3-O-carbonateprotected donors. In the beginning, the β -directing additive 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylpyrimidine (TTBP), α -directing additive thiophene, bifunctional additives TBAI, and dimethyl sulfide, which worked well in the stereoselective glycosylations of glucosamine and galactosamine donors,^{25b} were checked. Unfortunately, they did not work at all in this case.

Our previous work and related mechani[sm](#page-15-0) studies by other research groups^{34,35} suggested that the good α -selectivity of 2,3-N,O-oxazolidinone protected aminosugar donors arose from the in situ ano[meriz](#page-15-0)ation of β -glycosidic bonds under the mild acidic reaction conditions. Obviously, the same mild acidic conditions in the glycosylation of 2,3-O-carbonate-protected donor 2 was not enough to promote the anomerization process. We then decided to use Lewis acid as additive in this glycosylation reaction to assist the anomerization process from

 β -glycoside to its α -anomer. Some Lewis acids were screened, their effects on the stereochemical outcome in the coupling of 2 with 18a were investigated, and the results are listed in Table 2. In each coupling reaction, Lewis acid was added after the preactivation of donor 2 by Ph_2SO/Tf_2O at -72 °C and the addition of acceptor 18a. Fortunately, it was found that a catalytic amount of BF_3 ·OEt₂ (entry 25) or AgBF₄ (entry 30) as well as 1 equiv of AgPF₆ (entry 31) significantly improved the stereoselectivity of this reaction, and excellent α -selectivity was realized. We also found that the yields were reduced when adding larger amounts of Lewis acid (entries 22−24, 27−29), but no hydrolysis products were observed under these conditions. The decrease of yields could probably arise from the degradation of the disaccharide.

Considering the high cost and sensibility to moisture of AgBF4 or AgPF₆, we preferred BF_3 ·OEt₂ as an effective α -directing Table 3. BF_3 ·OEt₂ as an Effective α -Directing Additive in the Glycosylations of a Series of Acceptors 18a−g with Donor 2 or 5

$$
R^2 \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{\text{PA}}^2\text{OAC}}_{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, -72^0\text{C}}}^{\text{Ph}_2\text{SO},\text{Tf}_2\text{O}}}_{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, -72^0\text{C}}\xrightarrow{\text{ROH}\text{BF}_3\text{OE}_2}R^2 \underbrace{\underbrace{\text{PA}}^2\text{OAC}}_{\text{OR}}
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{\text{R}^1\text{OAC}}_{\text{OR}}
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{\text{S: R}^1 = \text{H, R}^2 = \text{OAc}}_{\text{R}^2 = \text{H}}
$$

entry	donor	accepter	equiv.	product	$\alpha\beta$ ratio	yield
1	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	0.2	Acc $\sqrt{2\pi}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}$
2	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	0.2	Acc $\sqrt{2\pi}$	$\sqrt{2\pi}$	
3	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	0.2	Acc $\sqrt{2\pi}$	π	
3	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	0.2	Acc $\sqrt{2\pi}$	π	
3	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	0.2	Acc $\sqrt{2\pi}$	π	
4	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	2	2		
5	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	2	2		
6	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	2	2		
7	2	log $\sqrt{2\pi}$	2	2		
8	3	2	2			
9	3	2	2			
10	2					

Table 3. continued

entry	donor	accepter	equiv.	product	α/β ratio	yield
10	5	OBn B _p o- НÒ OMe 18d	2^{c}	OBn OA _{SOA} c BnO nnnno OMe $30\alpha + 30\beta$	$\alpha/\beta = 5:1$	68%
11	5	OBn BnO HC BnO OMe 18e	0.2	OAGOAC OBn ,BnO BnO _I Me 31α	α only	77%
12	5	-OH n BnO ⁻ BnO .OMe \overrightarrow{OBn} 18f	0.2	OACOAc B_{Br} OMe OBn 32α	α only	76%
13	5	OH BzO ⁻ BzO BzO. OMe 18 _g	0.2	OAGOAC O Bz $BzOO$ Me 33α	α only	60%

^aYield based on the recovery of the acceptor. ^bThe reaction was carried out without adding $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ as additive. ^ca-Selectivity was obtained by using 2 equiv of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ as additive. $d\alpha$ -Selectivity was obtained by using 1 equiv of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ as additive.

additive in the glycosylations of 2,3-O-carbonate-protected donors. The generality of such an additive on both glycosyl acceptors and donors was then checked. Either thioglucoside donor 2 or similar thiogalactoside donor 5 was preactivated in dry dichloromethane at −72 °C and reacted with a series of representative glycosyl acceptors 18a-g^{29,36-41} (Table 3). Generally, excellent α -selectivities were obtained in moderate to high yields by using BF_3 . OEt₂ as additive [in the](#page-15-0) glycosylat[io](#page-4-0)n reactions. In most cases, 0.2 equiv of BF_3 ·OEt₂ was quite enough, except for the coupling of donor 2 or 5 with acceptor 18d (2 equiv, entries 4 and 10) as well as the coupling of donor 2 with 18g (1 equiv, entry 7). We reasoned that the good α -selectivities resulted from the Lewis acid-mediated anomerization of the β -glycosidic bonds to the α -linked ones, which are thermodynamically favored. That is, even α/β mixtures were obtained at first, β-linked disaccharides anomerized immediately through endocyclic C1−O5 bond cleavage assisted by Lewis acid BF_3 ·OEt₂. It seemed that both 2,3-O-carbonate group and Lewis acid are determining factors in this case. It is worth mentioning that the β -configuration of the glycosidic bond at the anomeric carbon of acceptor 18f was kept during the process of glycosylation and anomerization of new glycosidic bond (entries 6 and 12). Meanwhile, we realized that the cleavage of the exocyclic C−O bond, which is the glycosidic bond of the product, might occur competitively. This may be explained by the fact that the use of even catalytic amounts of BF_3 ·OEt₂ in the coupling of donor 2 or 5 with acceptor 18c led to disaccharide 24 or 29 (entries 3 and 9) in poor yield (less than 10%). The newly formed $α$ -1,4-glycosidic bond in both cases might be sensitive to Lewis acid and easily cleaved. However, unlike the others, the α -selectivities of both glycosylations were good even without any additives, perhaps due to the less nucleophilic property of 4-OH in the acceptor or the in situ anomerization under the mild acidic reaction conditions.

One limitation of the 4,6-O-acetyl-protected donor was its lower reactivity due to the "disarming" effects of both acetyl groups and carbonate group. Thus, moderate yield was achieved in the coupling reaction of donor 2 with the less nucleophilic acceptor 18b (entry 2, Table 3). Therefore, we decided to extend the Lewis acid-assisted α -selective glycosylation protocol to the more reactive 4,6-[O](#page-4-0)-benzyl-protected donor 3. According to our preliminary investigation (entry 3, Table 1), which is consistent with the reported work by Crich et al.,^{18c} the glycosylation of donor 3 without any additive is β -sele[cti](#page-2-0)ve. Hopefully the initially formed β -glycosidic bond could [an](#page-15-0)omerize to the α -bond in the presence of proper Lewis acid, making the stereoselectivities of this donor toward glycosylations controllable just by modulating the additive.

The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18a was chosen as the model reaction, and the effects of different Lewis acids on the stereochemical outcome were investigated (Table 4). First, Lewis acids such as BF_3 ·OEt₂ (entries 2–5), AgBF₄ (entries 6– 7), and AgPF_6 (entries 8–9), which were quite [e](#page-6-0)ffective α -directing additives in the glycosylations of donor 2 or 5, were checked. It was found that good α -stereoselectivity was obtained only when a large amount of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ was used, and the yield was moderate (entry 5). So we got a hint that the electron-donating effects of benzyl groups at 4,6-OH resulted in reduced tendency of anomerization from the initially formed disaccharide 20β to its anomer 20α . During the process of searching for a more powerful Lewis acid as our α -directing additive, we found that strong Lewis acids such as $TiCl₄$ (entries 10−11), HfCl₄ (entry 12), BCl₃ (entry 13), and $GaCl₃$ (entry 23) only gave rise to the cleavage of glycosidic bonds or debenzylation or decomposition of sugars but did not assist the anomerization process. Relatively mild ones such as $SnF₄$ (entry 18) and $BiCl₃$ (entry 24) had no effect at all. Only the addition of appropriate amount of $SnCl₄$ (entry 14) or $AICI₃$ (entry 21) resulted in the total reversal of the β-stereoselectivity to α -stereoselectivity. Compared with AlCl₃,

Table 4. Effects of Different Lewis Acids on the Stereochemical Outcome in the Glycosylation of Acceptor 18a with Donor 3

SnCl4 performed better, with advantages of less influence on the coupling yield, fewer side reactions, and smaller amount needed.

Because of the good performance of its α -directing effect in the glycosylation of donor 3, we next investigated the generality of $SnCl₄$ as additive. As we reasoned the total reversal of β -selectivity to α -selectivity may come from the endocyclic C−O bond cleavage assisted by SnCl₄, we slightly optimized the protocol this time. Acceptor was added after donor 3 was preactivated completely by BSM/Tf_2O at $-72 °C$, and then the reaction mixture was warmed slowly to accomplish the new glycosidic bond formation. After the full consumption of acceptor and the formation of disaccharide (usually at low temperature below -20 °C) as indicated by TLC monitoring, $SnCl₄$ (neat liquid) was then added to perform the anomerization process. As shown in Table 5, in most cases, 1−3 equiv of SnCl₄ worked well as an effective α -directing additive in the couplings of donor 3 with different acceptors 18a−g. In this way, the stereochemical out[co](#page-7-0)me of donor 3 toward glycosylations can be modulated by means of additive, that is, β -selectivity was obtained in the absence of SnCl₄ whereas α -selectivity was obtained in the presence of it.

However, this protocol did not work well in the coupling reactions of donor 3 with the 4-OH acceptors 18b and 18c (entries 4 and 6). No stereoselectivity was observed when even 5 equiv of $SnCl₄$ was used in the glycosylation of acceptor $18b$ with donor 3. In the case of acceptor 18c, the presence of $SnCl₄$ only gave rise to the cleavage of glycosidic bond, leading to decomposition of the product.

Although the stereoselectivity was quite poor in the case of similar benzyl-protected thiogalactoside donor 6, we want to use SnCl₄ as additive to improve the α -selectivity through the same anomerization mechanism, and the results are listed in Table 6. As expected, the additive worked well. Except for the coupling of donor 6 with the 4-OH acceptor 18b (entries 3 and 4, fro[m](#page-8-0) 1.5:1 without any additives to 3:1 with 3 equiv of $SnCl₄$), the stereoselectivities of all glycosylations were improved to good to excellent α -selectivities by using $SnCl₄$ as additive.

In the end, in order to support our assumption of the in situ anomerization process, β -disaccharide 19 β and 20 β were treated with a catalytic amount of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ or a stoichiometric amount of SnCl₄ at -72 °C in dichloromethane, respectively (Scheme 3). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature, and an efficient anomerization was observed, [p](#page-9-0)roviding the α -linked disaccharides 19 α and 20 α in around 85% yield. The experimental results demonstrated that the anomerization indeed occurred after the glycosylation, probably through an endocyclic C−O bond cleavage assisted by the Lewis acid.

■ CONCLUSION

In this context, we developed a Lewis acid mediated α -stereoselective glycosylation protocol of 2,3-O-carbonate-protected Table 5. Additive SnCl₄-Controlled Stereoselective Glycosylations of Different Acceptors 18a−g with Donor 3

Table 5. continued

OBDOBn

OBnoBn

thioglucoside and thiogalactoside donors based on preactivation strategy. BF_3 ·OEt₂ was found to be an effective α -directing additive in the glycosylations of a series of representative acceptors with 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonate-protected thioglucoside donor 2 and thiogalactoside donor 5. Poor stereoselectivities were greatly improved to nearly α -only

selectivities by the addition of catalytic amount of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$. Meanwhile, the β-stereoselectivity of 4,6-di-O-benzyl-2,3-Ocarbonate-protected thioglucoside donor 3 toward glycosylations with different acceptors was totally reversed to the α -selectivity by the use of stoichiometric Lewis acid SnCl₄ as additive, making the stereochemical outcome predictable and

Scheme 3. Anomerization Reaction of β-Disaccharides 19β and 20β

controllable. For the similar thiogalactoside donor 6, poor stereoselectivities in glycosylations were also improved by adding SnCl₄. It was suggested that the good α -selectivities in all cases may come from one mechanism, that is, no matter what the initial stereoselectivities are, the newly formed β -glycosidic bonds in the glycosylations of such donors can anomerize to their thermodynamically more stable α -anomers, through the endocyclic C−O bond cleavage assisted by an appropriate Lewis acid. It is worth to mention that, the anomerization process relies on the conformation-constraining 2,3-O-carbonate group, just like the 2,3-N,O-oxazolidinone group in the glucosamine and galactosamine donors reported before. Further exploration of new additives which may modulate the stereoselectivity of glycosylations, and the extension of this protocol to other types of sugars is still under investigation.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All chemicals were purchased as reagent grade and used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. Dichloromethane, pyridine, and DMF were distilled over calcium hydride. Methanol was distilled from magnesium. All reactions were carried out under anhydrous conditions with freshly distilled solvents, unless otherwise noted. Reactions were monitored by analytical thinlayer chromatography on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ precoated on aluminum plates. Spots were detected under UV (254 nm) and/or by staining with acidic ceric ammonium molybdate. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and below 40 °C (bath). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200−300 mesh). ¹ H NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer. Chemical shifts (in ppm) were referenced to tetramethylsilane (δ = 0 ppm) in deuterated chloroform. 13C NMR spectra were obtained by using the same NMR spectrometers and were calibrated with CDCl₃ (δ = 77.00 ppm). Mass spectra were recorded using a spectrometer. Elemental analysis data were recorded on an elemental analyzer.

Preparation of 2,3-O-Carbonate-Protected Glycosyl Donors 1−6. p-Tolyl 4,6-O-Benzylidene-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (1). Triphosgene (0.94 g, 3.21 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloromethane was added dropwise to a solution of p-tolyl 4,6-Obenzylidene-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside²⁷ (2.00 g, 5.35 mmol) and pyridine (2.6 mL) in dichloromethane (25 mL) at −20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorou[sly](#page-15-0) for 30 min at the same temperature. After consumption of the starting material by TLC detection, the reaction was quenched by satd $NH₄Cl$ solution (20 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/acetone, 6:1) to give 1 (1.73 g, 81%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D$ –16.0 (c 1.0, $CHCl₃$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.48 (m, 4H), 7.35– 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 5.55 (s, 1H), 4.89 (d, 1H, $J =$ 9.6 Hz), 4.46 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0$, 10.8 Hz), 4.40 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.8$, 10.8 Hz), 3.93 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.4$, 9.6 Hz), 3.88 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 3.83 (dd, 1H, J = 9.6, 10.8 Hz), 5.57−3.61 (m, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 152.5, 140.0, 136.0, 135.3, 130.0, 129.4, 128.4, 126.0, 124.7, 101.3, 83.6, 80.8, 78.3 (2C), 72.8, 68.2, 21.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}O_6$ SNa [M + Na]⁺ 423.0873, found 423.0875.

p-Tolyl 4,6-O-Benzylidene-2,3-di-O-p-methoxybenzyl-1-thio-β-Dglucopyranoside (8). Compound ⁷ (10.0 g, 26.7 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (100 mL) and stirred at −15 °C, and NaH (4.28 g, 107 mmol, 60% w/w in mineral oil) was added in three portions, followed by the dropwise addition of p -methoxybenzyl chloride (10.9 mL, 80.2 mmol). After being stirred for 30 min at −15 °C, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-cooled water and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified through recrystallization in petroleum ether/ethyl acetate to give 8 (14.4 g, 88%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.5$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 2:1); $[\alpha]_{D}^{-}$ –2.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25−7.50 (m, 11H), 7.11 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.82−6.90 (m, 4H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J = 10.2$ Hz), 4.73 $(d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz)$, 4.71 $(d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz)$, 4.66 $(d, 1H, J = 9.6$ Hz), 4.37 (dd, 1H, J = 5.1, 10.5 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.68− 3.81 (m, 2H), 3.65 (t, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz), 3.38−3.47 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 159.2, 138.0, 137.2, 132.9, 130.4, 130.2, 129.8, 129.71, 129.68, 129.1, 128.9, 128.2, 125.9, 113.73, 113.72, 101.0, 88.4, 82.6, 81.4, 80.0, 75.4, 74.9, 70.1, 68.6, 55.22, 55.17, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{36}H_{39}O_7S$ $[M + H]^+$ 615.2411, found 615.2436.

p-Tolyl 2,3-Di-O-p-methoxybenzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (9). ^p-TsOH (2.75 g, 16.0 mmol) was added to the solution of compound 8 (14.0 g, 22.8 mmol) in 200 mL of dichloromethane− methanol $(v/v = 1:1)$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h, neutralized with Et₃N (2 mL), and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/acetone, 1:1) to give 9 (10.8 g, 90%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.1$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1). Compound 9 was used for the next reaction directly.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-di-O-p-methoxybenzyl-1-thio-β-Dglucopyranoside (10). To a stirred solution of compound 9 (5.00 g, 9.50 mmol) in pyridine (50 mL) with a catalytic amount of DMAP was added acetic anhydride (9.00 mL, 95.0 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h, and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 3:1) to give 10 (5.80 g, 100%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.34 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.09−7.18 (m, 4H), 6.84−6.90 (m, 4H), 4.99 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J = 9.9$ Hz), 4.75 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz), 4.64 (d, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, $J = 11.4$ Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, $J = 9.9$ Hz), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.4$, 12.0 Hz), 4.10 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.7$, 12.3 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.61 (t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.52−3.56 $(m, 1H)$, 3.48 $(t, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz)$, 2.34 $(s, 3H)$, 2.08 $(s, 3H)$, 1.93 $(s,$ 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.7, 169.6, 159.4, 159.2, 138.1, 132.9, 130.2, 130.0, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 113.84, 113.82, 87.8, 83.4, 80.2, 75.8, 75.2, 75.0, 69.8, 63.6, 55.3 (2C), 21.1, 20.8 (2C); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{33}H_{38}O_9S$ Na $[M + Na]^+$ 633.2129, found 633.2147.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (11). TFA (20 mL) was added to the solution of compound 10 (5.80 g, 9.50 mmol) in 100 mL of dichloromethane at −20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min, diluted with dichloromethane, quenched with 100 mL of saturated $NAHCO₃$, and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 120 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:2) to give 11 (3.41 g, 97%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.1$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1.5). Compound 11 was used for the next reaction directly.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (2). Triphosgene (0.95 g, 3.24 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloromethane was added dropwise to the solution of compound 11 (2.00 g, 5.40 mmol) and pyridine (2.61 mL, 32.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (25 mL) at −20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for about 20−30 min at the same temperature. After most of the starting material was consumed by TLC detection, the reaction was quenched by satd NH4Cl aqueous solution (20 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 3:1 to 1:2) to give 2 (1.63 g, 76%) as a foam and the recovered starting material 11. Compound 2: $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); [α]_D –8.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 $(d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz)$, 7.16 $(d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz)$, 5.17 $(t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz)$, 4.83 (d, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 4.34 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 4.29 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 2.0, 12.4 Hz), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.4$, 12.4 Hz), 3.81 (dd, 1H, $J = 9.6$, 11.2 Hz), 3.76 (td, 1H, J = 2.4, 4.4 Hz), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 168.9, 152.2, 140.1, 135.6, 129.9, 124.2, 82.3, 81.9, 77.1, 75.6, 67.2, 61.6, 21.2, 20.7, 20.5; MS (ESI) 397 $[M + H]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}O_8S$: C, 54.54; H, 5.09. Found: C, 54.34; H, 5.07.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-di-O-p-methoxybenzyl-1-thio-β-Dglucopyranoside (12). NaH $(1.52 \text{ g}, 38.0 \text{ mmol}, 60\% \text{ w/w} \text{ in mineral})$ oil) was added in two portions to the solution of compound 9 (5.00 g, 9.50 mmol) in DMF (60 mL) at −15 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of benzyl bromide (4.00 mL, 33.3 mmol). After being stirred for 30 min at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h, then poured into ice-cooled water. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 10:1) to give 12 (6.30 g, 94%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.6$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 2:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.19– 7.36 (m, 14H), 7.02 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.82−6.89 (m, 4H), 4.84 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J = 10.4$ Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J = 10.4$ Hz), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 4.56–4.60 (m, 3H), 4.52 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.76 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.8, 10.8$ Hz), 3.67 (t, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 3.60 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 3.43−3.47 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 159.2, 138.3, 138.1, 137.6, 132.6, 130.6, 130.3, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 113.8, 87.6, 86.4, 80.5, 79.0, 77.8, 75.4, 75.0, 73.3, 69.0, 55.23, 55.21, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{43}H_{46}O_7S$ Na $[M + Na]^+$ 729.2856, found 729.2859.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (13). Compound 12 (3.00 g, 4.25 mmol) was resolved in the mixed solution of dichloromethane (80 mL) and water (8 mL), followed by the addition of DDQ (5.79 g, 25.5 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, quenched by 100 mL of saturated NaHCO₃, and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 120 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1) to give 13 (1.74 g, 88%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.1$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.46 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.26–7.36 (m, 10H), 7.06 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J =$ 12.0 Hz), 4.62 (d, 1H, $J = 11.4$ Hz), 4.55 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz), 3.66−3.82 (m, 3H), 3.45−3.50 (m, 2H), 3.32 (t, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 2.68 (br.s, 1H), 2.60 (br.s, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 138.4, 138.2, 138.1, 133.5, 129.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 87.8, 79.1, 78.1, 77.1, 74.6, 73.4, 71.9, 69.0, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}O_5S$ Na $[M + Na]^+$ 489.1706, found 489.1713.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (3). Triphosgene (0.64 g, 2.20 mmol) in 3 mL of dichloromethane was added dropwise to the solution of compound 13 (1.70 g, 3.65 mmol) and pyridine (1.76 mL, 22.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) at −20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for about 20 min. After the starting material was completely consumed by TLC detection, the reaction was quenched by 15 mL of satd $NH₄Cl$ solution and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 8:1) to give $3(1.54 \text{ g}, 86\%)$ as a foam: $R_f = 0.5$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D$ 2.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.22−7.37 (m, 10H), 7.06 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, J = 10.0

Hz), 4.76 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.58 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 2H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.32 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0$, 11.2 Hz), 3.87 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 3.73−3.80 (m, 3H), 3.58−3.62 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 153.0, 139.5, 138.0, 136.8, 135.2, 129.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 125.1, 85.4, 82.3, 80.1, 76.1, 73.6, 73.5, 72.9, 68.2, 21.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{28}H_{28}O_6S$ Na $[M + Na]^+$ 515.1499, found 515.1500.

Donors 4−6 were prepared starting from galactoside derivative 14,²⁸ following the same procedure as described in the preparation of donors 1-3.

[p-T](#page-15-0)olyl 4,6-O-Benzylidene-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (4). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 3:1) to give 4 (83%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.41 (m, 5H), 7.15 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz), 4.58–4.59 (m, 1H), 4.41– 4.50 (m, 2H), 4.35 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 10.4 Hz), 4.09 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$, 12.6 Hz), 3.64 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz), 2.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 152.6, 139.6, 136.7, 135.8, 129.9, 129.5, 128.2, 126.4, 124.4, 100.7, 82.9, 81.1, 72.4, 71.7, 69.9, 69.7, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}O_6$ SNa $[M + Na]^+$ 423.0873, found 423.0886.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (16). Through acetylation and removal of p-methoxybenzyl groups, compound 16 was prepared from the known compound 15.⁴² The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1) to give 16 (93%, two steps) [as](#page-15-0) a foam: $R_f = 0.1$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1.5); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.13 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 5.34 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.16 (d, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz), 3.80−3.90 (m, 2H), 3.65 (t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 2.58 (s, 1H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1, 170.6, 138.4, 133.0, 129.7, 128.2, 88.8, 74.7, 73.2, 69.6, 69.4, 62.2, 21.1, 20.7(2C); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_7S$ Na $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 393.0978, found 393.0980.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (5). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 5:1) to give 5 (75%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ –9.6 (c 2.4, CHCl_3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.16 $(d, 2H, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz})$, 5,62 (dd, 1H, J = 1.2, 2.0 Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.40 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 11.2 Hz), 4.30 (dd, 1H, $J = 9.2$, 11.2 Hz), 4.18 (d, 2H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 4.04 (td, 1H, $J = 1.2$, 7.2 Hz), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 169.1, 152.2, 139.6, 134.6, 129.8, 125.8, 84.5, 80.2, 75.5, 74.0, 65.5, 61.3, 21.2, 20.6, 20.4; MS (ESI) 397 [M + H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}O_8S$: C, 54.54; H, 5.09. Found: C, 54.28; H, 5.10.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (17). Through benzylation and removal of p-methoxybenzyl groups, compound 17 was prepared from the known intermediate 15. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1) to give 17 (82%, two steps) as a foam: R_f = 0.1 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ –5.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.25–7.36 $(m, 10H)$, 7.06 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.72 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.47 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.91 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 3.59–3.73 (m, 5H), 2.51 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.36−2.40 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H); 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 138.4, 137.9, 137.8, 132.7, 129.6, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.63, 127.56, 88.6, 77.5, 76.0, 75.3, 74.9, 73.5, 70.2, 68.5, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}O_5S$ Na $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 489.1706, found 489.1708.

p-Tolyl 4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (6). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $8:1$) to give 6 (83%) as a foam: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 2:1); $[\alpha]_D$ –11.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.25−7.35 (m, 8H), 7.19−7.21 (m, 2H), 7.10 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.75 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.43–4.53 (m, 4H), 4.30 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.0$, 11.2 Hz), 4.22 (s, 1H), 3.80 (t, 1H, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 3.62−3.69 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.8, 139.1, 137.6, 137.1, 134.3, 129.8, 128.4, 128.3, 127.90, 127.86, 127.7, 127.6, 126.4, 84.4, 83.2, 78.2, 74.2, 73.60, 73.56, 71.9, 68.0, 21.2; MS (ESI) 515 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₈O₆S: C, 68.27; H, 5.73. Found: C, 68.24; H, 5.62.

General Procedure for the $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -Mediated α -Selective Glycosylations of Donor 2 or 5 with Acceptors 18a−g. Triflic anhydride (10.2 μ L, 0.060 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of 2 or 5 (22.2 mg, 0.056 mmol, 1.3 equiv), $Ph₂SO$ (2.2 mg, 0.060 mmol, 1.4 equiv), and activated 4 Å molecular sieves (300 mg) in dichloromethane (3.0 mL) at −72 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3−5 min. After disappearance of donor detected by TLC, a solution of the acceptor alcohol 18a (20.0 mg, 0.043 mmol, 1.0 equiv) or 18b−g in dichloromethane (0.2 mL) was added dropwise to the preactivated system, followed by the addition of BF_3 ·OEt₂. The reaction mixture was stirred and slowly warmed to room temperature, and then quenched by $Et₃N$ (0.1 mL). The precipitate was filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the disaccharides. The yields were calculated on the basis of the isolated products.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- (1→6)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (19α). The coupling of donor 2 with acceptor $18a^{29}$ afforded $19α$ (76%, α/β > 20:1) as a foam: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +23.6 (c 1.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MH[z,](#page-15-0) CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.38 (m, 15H), 5.35 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 5.28 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 5.00 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.93 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.80 (d, 2H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.66 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.60 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0$, 11.6 Hz), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.56 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 11.6 Hz), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.4$, 12.4 Hz), 4.09 (td, 1H, $J = 2.0$, 12.4 Hz), 4.00 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 3.87 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.4$, 11.6 Hz), 3.74–3.83 (m, 3H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$, 9.6 Hz), 3.46 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 168.9, 152.7, 138.6, 138.1, 138.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 98.1, 94.7, 82.0, 80.1, 76.8, 76.5, 75.7, 74.6, 73.5, 69.9 (2C), 68.1, 67.1, 61.2, 55.3, 20.6, 20.5; MS (ESI) 759 [M + Na]⁺ . Anal. Calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}$: C, 63.58; H, 6.02. Found: C, 63.54; H, 6.02.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (23 α). The coupling of donor 2 with acceptor $18b^{36}$ afforded 23α (51%) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +25.0 $(c \ 0.4, CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR (400 [M](#page-15-0)Hz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.34 (m, 15H), 5.86 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 5.25 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 5.04 (d, 1H, $J =$ 10.4 Hz), 4.75 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.73 (d, 1H, $J = 10.4$ Hz), 4.67 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.54 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 3.2, 11.6 Hz), 4.04 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 12.4 Hz), 3.96−4.00 (m, 1H), 3.80−3.84 (m, 3H), 3.74−3.77 (m, 1H), 3.67 (d, 2H, J = 2.0 Hz), 3.54 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 9.6 Hz), 3.41 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 168.8, 152.7, 138.3, 137.8 (2C), 128.53, 128.46, 128.4, 128.11, 128.06, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 97.8, 95.1, 81.2, 80.2, 76.4, 76.3, 75.3, 73.6, 73.3, 70.5, 69.3, 69.1, 68.0, 61.1, 55.5, 20.61, 20.56; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{45}O_{14}$ [M + H]⁺ 737.2804, found 737.2803. Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₄₄O₁₄: C, 63.58; H, 6.02. Found: C, 63.53; H, 6.17.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- (1→4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-galactopyranoside (24 α). The cou-
pling of donor 2 with acceptor $18c^{37}$ in the absence of BF₃·OEt₂ afforded 24 α (77%) as a colorless oil: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +13.6 (c 1.1, [CH](#page-15-0)Cl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25−7.42 (m, 15H), 5.27 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.27 (d, 1H, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 4.83 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 12.5$ Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J = 12.5$ Hz), 4.70 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 4.70 (d, 1H, $J = 11.0$ Hz), 4.68 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.5$, 12.0 Hz), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.22 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 4.10−4.16 (m, 2H), 3.88−3.91 (m, 2H), 3.80−3.85 (m, 2H), 3.59 (t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.48 (dd, 1H, J = 5.5, 9.0 Hz), 3.42 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.0, 13.0$ Hz), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 170.3, 168.9, 152.8, 138.1, 138.0, 137.3, 128.6, 128.48, 128.46, 128.1, 128.01, 127.95, 127.7, 98.3, 95.7, 77.2, 76.9, 76.0, 75.5, 73.5, 73.3, 73.0, 69.9, 67.74, 67.68, 66.7, 60.4, 55.5, 20.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2625.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- (1→2)-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (25α). The coupling
of donor 2 with acceptor 18d³⁸ afforded 25α (70%, α/β > 20:1) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.5$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +10.0 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); ¹[H N](#page-15-0)MR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.35 (m, 13H), 7.11−7.12 (m, 2H), 5.34 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 5.24 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 4.98 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.87 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.75−4.80 (m, 2H), 4.67 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.24 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8, 11.2 Hz), 3.84−4.02 (m, 5H), 3.67−3.79 (m, 4H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 168.8, 152.5, 138.2, 137.80, 137.76, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1, 96.5, 92.4, 80.0, 78.4, 76.74, 76.68, 76.6, 76.4, 75.4, 75.0, 70.3, 70.1, 68.2, 67.6, 60.7, 55.4, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}K$ [M + K]⁺ 775.2363, found 775.2362.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (26 α). The coupling of donor 2 with acceptor $18e^{39}$ afforded 26α (78%) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +18.7 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NM[R \(](#page-15-0)500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.38 (m, 13H), 7.14−7.16 (m, 2H), 5.69 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 5.22 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 4.73 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0$, 11.5 Hz), 4.65 (d, 2H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.62 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz), 4.48 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz), 4.10− 4.21 (m, 3H), 3.86 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0, 13.0 Hz), 3.65−3.77 (m, 5H), 3.55 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5$, 10.0 Hz), 3.38 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 168.9, 152.9, 137.5 (2C), 137.3, 128.6, 128.53, 128.45, 128.40, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 97.1, 94.4, 78.4, 77.5, 77.4, 76.9, 74.6, 73.7, 72.2, 69.9, 69.6, 67.8, 60.7, 55.1, 20.61, 20.58; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2625.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (27 α). The coupling of donor 2 with acceptor $18f^{40}$ afforded 27α (75%) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +16.7 (c 0.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NM[R](#page-15-0) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.36 (m, 15H), 5.39 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 5.31 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 4.96 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.91 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.89 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.78 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.71 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.65 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4,$ 11.6 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz), 4.31 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.18− 4.25 (m, 2H), 4.12 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0, 12.4 Hz), 3.87−3.91 (m, 2H), 3.82 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 11.6 Hz), 3.67 (t, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.55 (s, 3H), 3.46−3.47 (m, 2H), 3.41 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 9.2 Hz), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.08 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 168.9, 152.7, 138.4, 138.3, 137.9, 128.5, 128.40, 128.39, 128.1, 127.99, 127.97, 127.9, 127.71, 127.68, 104.7, 94.5, 84.6, 82.2, 77.0. 76.9, 76.5, 75.6, 74.8, 74.7, 74.2, 70.0, 68.0, 67.0, 61.2, 57.1, 20.7, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2640.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- (1→6)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α - α -glucopyranoside (28 α). The coupling of donor 2 with acceptor 18g⁴¹ afforded 28 α (55%) as a foam: R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89−7.99 (m, 4H), 7.54−7[.87](#page-15-0) (m, 2H), 7.43−7.53 (m, 2H), 7.36− 7.42 (m, 5H), 7.28−7.32 (m, 2H), 6.16 (t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 5.62 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.33 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 5.23–5.31 (m, 3H), 4.89 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0, 11.2$ Hz), 3.92–4.35 (m, 6H), 3.81 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.0$, 11.2 Hz), 3.48 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.2, 169.1, 166.4, 165.3, 165.1, 152.7, 133.6, 133.4, 133.0, 129.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 99.0, 94.9, 78.0, 76.8, 74.1, 72.7, 69.9, 68.0, 67.8, 65.9, 65.5, 62.0, 56.2, 20.7, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{39}O_{17}$ [M + H]⁺ 779.2182, found 779.2182.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (21 α). The coupling of donor 5 with acceptor 18a afforded 21α (81%) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +20.0 (c 0.7, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.39 (m, 15H), 5.56 (s, 1H), 5.35 (d, 1H, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 5.00 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.66

(d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.61 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 12.0 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J =$ 11.6 Hz), 4.56 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.55 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 12.0 Hz), 4.12 (dd, 1H, J = 5.6, 10.4 Hz), 3.97−4.06 (m, 3H), 3.73−3.85 (m, 3H), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 9.6 Hz), 3.43 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.2, 169.1, 152.1, 138.6, 138.14, 138.06, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 98.0, 95.6, 82.0, 80.1, 76.9, 75.7, 74.6, 74.2, 74.1, 73.5, 69.8, 68.3, 67.2, 66.6, 61.3, 55.3, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{45}O_{14}$ [M + $[H]^+$ 737.2804, found 737.2804. Anal. Calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}$: C, 63.58; H, 6.02. Found: C, 63.30; H, 6.17.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-galactopyranoside (29 α). The coupling of donor 5 with acceptor 18c in the absence of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ afforded major product 29 α (75%, $\alpha/\beta = 15:1$) as a colorless oil: $R_f =$ 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +18.3 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29–7.40 (m, 15H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 5.29 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 4.76−4.81 (m, 3H), 4.67−4.73 (m, 3H), 4.47−4.54 (m, 3H), 4.37 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.19 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 3.94 (t, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 3.86–3.89 (m, 2H), 3.77 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.2$, 10.0 Hz), 3.58 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.6$, 8.8 Hz), 3.41 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2, 11.2 Hz), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 169.2, 153.0, 138.2, 138.0, 137.4, 128.6, 128.42, 128.39, 128.3, 128.01, 127.98, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 98.2, 96.6, 75.9, 75.3, 74.6, 74.1, 73.5, 73.3, 72.9, 68.0, 67.8, 66.8, 66.4, 60.3, 55.5, 20.56, 20.55; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ [M + Na] 759.2623, found 759.2625.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl- α - and β-D-galactopyranosyl)-(1→2)-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (30 α and 30β). The coupling of donor ⁵ with acceptor 18d ^afforded a mixture of 30α and 30β (68%, α/β = 5:1). For 30α: colorless glassy solid; R_f = 0.5 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +30.0 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27–7.37 (m, 13H), 7.15–7.17 (m, 2H), 5.34 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 5.10 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J =$ 11.6 Hz), 4.65 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.50−4.56 (m, 3H), 4.40 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8, 12.0 Hz), 4.09−4.12 (m, 1H), 3.97−4.02 (m, 2H), 3.76−3.83 (m, 4H), 3.68–3.72 (m, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.1, 169.0, 152.6, 138.4, 137.78, 137.76, 128.6, 128.44, 128.41, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 96.4, 92.9, 80.4, 78.6, 75.8, 75.4, 75.0, 74.03, 73.96, 73.6, 70.3, 68.5, 68.2, 66.6, 61.0, 55.3, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₉H₄₄O₁₄Na [M + Na]⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2626. For 30 β : foam; R_f = 0.4 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +3.8 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27−7.38 (m, 13H), 7.15−7.17 (m, 2H), 5.58 (br.s, 1H), 5.02 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.85 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 4.85 (d, 2H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.55 $(dd, 1H, J = 4.4, 12.4 Hz$, 4.51 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz)$, 4.50 $(d, 1H, J = 14.4)$ 12.0 Hz), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.4$, 11.6 Hz), 4.12 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 12.0 Hz), 4.07 (dd, 1H, J = 6.4, 11.2 Hz), 4.03 (t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.93 (td, 1H, J = 1.2, 6.4 Hz), 3.65−3.79 (m, 5H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 169.1, 152.4, 138.1, 138.0, 137.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.85, 127.80, 101.7, 99.1, 81.1, 81.0, 78.3, 77.8, 75.9, 75.5, 75.1, 73.5, 72.9, 70.1, 68.2, 65.2, 61.2, 55.2, 20.7, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2618.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (31 α). The coupling of donor 5 with acceptor 18e afforded 31α (77% yield) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +47.8 (c 0.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27–7.37 (m, 13H), 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 5.70 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 4.76 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.60–4.67 (m, 3H), 4.46–4.53 (m, 5H), 4.37 (t, 1H, $J =$ 6.4 Hz), 4.15 (t, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.07 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.4$, 11.2 Hz), 3.65−3.77 (m, 5H), 3.55 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 10.0 Hz), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 169.2, 153.0, 137.52, 137.46 (2C), 128.64, 128.57, 128.5, 128.43, 128.40, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 97.3, 95.1, 78.5, 77.9, 76.5, 74.6, 74.33, 74.28, 73.7, 72.5, 69.9, 68.1, 67.9, 66.8, 61.1, 55.1, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{45}O_{14}$ $[M + H]^+$ 737.2804, found 737.2803. Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₄₄O₁₄: C, 63.58; H, 6.02. Found: C, 63.43; H, 6.05.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (32 α). The coupling of donor 5 with acceptor 18f afforded 32α (76% yield) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +17.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.36 (m, 15H), 5.58 (br.s, 1H), 5.42 (d, 1H, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 4.95 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.91 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.88 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.70 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 12.0 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.58 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$, 12.0 Hz), 4.30 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.08–4.17 (m, 2H), 4.02 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.6$, 10.0 Hz), 3.89 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 3.80–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.64–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 3.45−3.47 (m, 2H), 3.41 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 9.2 Hz), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.9, 169.2, 152.8, 138.4, 138.3, 137.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.93, 127.86, 127.7, 104.6, 95.5, 84.6, 82.2, 76.9, 75.6, 74.8, 74.7, 74.3, 74.2, 74.1, 68.4, 67.2, 66.6, 61.2, 57.1, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{44}O_{14}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 759.2623, found 759.2629.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (33 α). The coupling of donor 5 with acceptor 18g afforded 33 α (60% yield) as a foam: $R_f =$ 0.1 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +18.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.94 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.88 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.50−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.36−7.45 $(m, 5H)$, 7.26−7.32 $(m, 2H)$, 6.16 $(t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz)$, 5.68 $(s, 1H)$, 5.65 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.37 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 5.24–5.30 (m, 2H), 5.01 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 12.0 Hz), 4.67 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 12.0 Hz), 4.26 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 10.0 Hz), 4.17 (t, 1H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 4.06 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 5.6, 11.2 Hz), 3.92−3.98 (m, 2H), 3.80 (dd, 1H, J = 1.6, 11.2 Hz), 3.48 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.90 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.2, 169.2, 165.8, 165.7, 165.5, 152.8, 133.7, 133.4, 133.2, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.64, 128.57, 128.4, 128.3, 97.1, 95.6, 74.24, 74.18, 71.9, 70.3, 69.0, 68.7, 68.0, 67.0, 66.9, 61.5, 55.8, 20.5, 20.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{39}H_{38}O_{17}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 801.2001, found 801.2010.

General Procedure for the SnCl₄-Modulated α/β -Selective Glycosylations of Donor 3 or 6 with Acceptors 18a−g. Protocol A (in the absence of $SnCl₄$): Triflic anhydride (9.50 μ L, 0.056 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of 3 or 6 (25.4 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1.2 equiv), BSM (11.8 mg, 0.056 mmol, 1.3 equiv), and activated 4 Å molecular sieves (300 mg) in dichloromethane (3.0 mL) at −72 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3−5 min. After the disappearance of donor detected by TLC, a solution of the acceptor alcohol 18a (20.0 mg, 0.043 mmol, 1.0 equiv) or 18b−g in dichloromethane (0.2 mL) was added dropwise to the preactivated system. The reaction mixture was stirred and slowly warmed to room temperature, and then quenched by $Et₃N$ (0.1 mL). The precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the disaccharides. Protocol B (in the presence of $SnCl₄$): Triflic anhydride (9.50 μ L, 0.056 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of 3 or 6 (25.4 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1.2 equiv), BSM (11.8 mg, 0.056 mmol, 1.3 equiv), and activated 4 Å molecular sieves (300 mg) in dichloromethane (3.0 mL) at −72 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3−5 min. After the disappearance of donor detected by TLC, a solution of the acceptor alcohol 18a (20.0 mg, 0.043 mmol, 1.0 equiv) or 18b−g in dichloromethane (0.2 mL) was added dropwise to the preactivated system. The reaction was monitored by TLC. SnCl₄ (1-3 equiv) was added after disappearance of the acceptor at about −40 °C to −20 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature to accomplish the anomerization process, and then quenched by Et_3N (0.1 mL). The precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the disaccharides.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (20 β). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18a by protocol A afforded 20β (86% yield) as a foam: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1). Compound 20β is a known compound, and its spectroscopic data coincide with the previous report.^{18c}

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (20 α). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18a by protocol B afforded 20α (80% yield) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +21.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20−7.37 (m, 25H), 5.32 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 4.76–4.79 (m, 2H), 4.63−4.70 (m, 2H), 4.53−4.59 (m, 3H), 4.47 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.42 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.12 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 11.6 Hz), 3.96−4.01 (m, 2H), 3.66−3.84 (m, 5H), 3.51−3.57 (m, 2H), 3.48 (t, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.6, 138.6, 138.1 (2C), 137.7, 137.0, 128.5, 128.42, 128.39, 128.37, 128.1, 128.03, 127.96, 127.92, 127.89, 127.79, 127.75, 127.7, 127.6, 98.0, 95.0, 82.0, 80.12, 80.07, 77.2, 75.7, 74.7, 74.5, 73.5 (2C), 72.8, 72.4, 69.9, 67.4, 66.7, 55.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}K [M + K]^+$ 871.3090, found 871.3095.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α- and β-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -p-glucopyranoside (34 α and 34β). The coupling of donor ³ with acceptor 18b by either protocol A or protocol B afforded a mixture of 34α and 34β . Both of them are known compounds, and their spectroscopic data coincide with the previous report.^{18c}

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α- and β-D-glucopyra-nosyl)-(1→4)-2[,3,6](#page-15-0)-tri-O-benzyl- α - α -galactopyranoside (35 α and 35β). The coupling of donor ³ with acceptor 18c by protocol A afforded an inseparable anomeric mixture of 35α and 35β (85% yield, $\alpha/\beta = 1:10$) as a colorless glassy solid, and the anomeric ratio was determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20−7.40 (m, 30H), 5.29 (d, 0.1H, J = 3.2 Hz, H-1_α'), 5.16 (d, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz, H-1_β'), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz), 4.83 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.62 (t, 1H, $J =$ 3.6 Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz), 4.47–4.51 (m, 3H), 4.43 (d, 1H, J $= 12.0$ Hz), 4.34 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.19–4.23 (m, 1H), 3.85–4.01 (m, 7H), 3.62−3.68 (m, 2H), 3.54−3.59 (m, 2H), 3.36−3.38 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 0.3H); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}Na$ [M + Na]+ 855.3351, found 855.3359.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -*D-glucopyranoside* (36 β). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18d by protocol A afforded 36β (90% yield) as a foam: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +13.8 $(c \ 1.3, CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.38 (m, 23H), 7.15−7.17 (m, 2H), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz), 4.89 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.85 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J =$ 10.8 Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.62 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.56 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.52 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.49 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.47 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.11–4.13 (m, 2H), 4.01 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.93−3.98 (m, 1H), 3.63−3.79 (m, 7H), 3.52 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.0, 4.0, 8.4 Hz), 3.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 153.2, 138.2, 138.0, 137.9, 137.7, 136.7, 128.5, 128.43, 128.37, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.80, 127.77, 127.71, 127.66, 100.6, 99.3, 83.3, 81.2, 80.5, 77.8, 77.7, 77.0, 75.9, 75.0, 73.7, 73.6, 73.5, 72.9, 70.1, 68.3, 68.1, 55.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{53}O_{12}$ [M + H]⁺ 833.3532, found 833.3532. Anal. Calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}$: C, 70.66; H, 6.29. Found: C, 70.38; H, 6.14.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (36 α). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18d by protocol B afforded 36α (85% yield) as a foam: $R_f = 0.15$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_D + 27.1$ $(c$ 1.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.34 (m, 18H), 7.07−7.18 (m, 7H), 5.34 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.87 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 4.73−4.86 (m, 5H), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.50 (d, 2H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.33 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 11.6 Hz), 4.04 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 3.97 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.82−3.87 (m, 2H), 3.73−3.78 (m, 2H), 3.65−3.70 (m, 2H), 3.50 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8, 11.2 Hz), 3.41 (s, 3H) 3.39−3.42 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.5, 138.0, 137.9, 137.8, 137.7, 137.2, 128.4, 128.3, 127.92, 127.89, 127.87, 127.8, 127.72, 127.67, 127.6, 96.6, 92.6, 80.19, 80.15, 78.2, 76.6, 75.9, 74.9,

74.5, 73.5, 73.4, 72.8, 72.6, 70.2, 68.2, 67.0, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}K$ $[M + K]^+$ 871.3090, found 871.3082.

Methyl (4,6-di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (37 β). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18e by protocol A afforded 37β (84% yield) as a glassy solid: $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +13.6 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.16–7.36 (m, 25H), 5.32 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 5.00 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.76 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.72 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.58 $(d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz)$, 4.54 $(d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz)$, 4.50 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.27 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.41 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.31 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.27 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 4.14 (dd, 1H, J = 9.6, 11.2 Hz), 4.01−4.06 (m, 2H), 3.57−3.72 (m, 7H), 3.48 (td, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 8.4 Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 153.6, 138.6, 138.0, 137.9, 137.5, 136.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.33, 128.27, 128.2, 128.14, 128.08, 128.0, 127.8, 127.64, 127.58, 127.5, 127.4, 99.9, 97.4, 83.7, 80.31, 80.26, 78.5, 75.7, 74.7, 73.8, 73.6, 73.43, 73.36, 73.0, 69.8, 68.4, 67.9, 55.2; MS (ESI) 855 [M + Na]⁺ . Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₅₂O₁₂: C, 70.66; H, 6.29. Found C, 70.52; H, 6.26.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (37 α). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18e by protocol B afforded 37α (78% yield) as a glassy solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +18.9 (c 2.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.13–7.36 (m, 25H), 5.70 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 4.78−4.83 (m, 1H), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.75 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 4.69 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.44–4.54 (m, 6H), 4.27 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.11– 4.16 (m, 2H), 4.03 (d, 2H, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 3.75 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 10.4 Hz), 3.64−3.73 (m, 3H), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.0 Hz), 3.31−3.37 (m, 2H), 3.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.9, 137.9, 137.52, 137.49, 137.4, 137.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.44, 128.36, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.91, 127.85, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 97.2, 94.7, 80.4, 78.5, 77.7, 77.5, 74.7, 74.6, 73.7, 73.3, 72.8, 72.7, 72.1, 69.7, 68.0, 66.9, 55.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{56}NO_{12} [M + NH_4]^+$ 850.3797, found 850.3795. Anal. Calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}$: C, 70.66; H, 6.29. Found: C, 70.38; H, 6.32.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (38 β). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18f by protocol A afforded 38β (87% yield) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +8.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22−7.34 (m, 25H), 4.93 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz), 4.88 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.77 (d, 2H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.76 (d, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.69 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.58 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.55 $(d, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz)$, 4.51 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz)$, 4.49 $(d, 1H, J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.31 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.21 (dd, 1H, $J = 9.6$, 11.6 Hz), 4.14 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.03 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$, 12.0 Hz), 3.95 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 3.63−3.70 (m, 4H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.38−3.58 (m, 4H); 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 153.4, 138.48, 138.45, 138.2, 137.8, 136.8, 128.5, 128.43, 128.41, 128.35, 128.14, 128.07, 128.0, 127.83, 127.78, 127.75, 127.63, 127.60, 104.7, 100.0, 84.6, 83.4, 82.3, 77.93, 77.88, 77.2, 75.6, 74.9, 74.7, 74.5, 74.0, 73.6, 72.9, 68.8, 68.2, 57.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{56}NO_{12}$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ 850.3797, found 850.3796.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (38 α). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18f by protocol B afforded 38α (81% yield, α/β > 20:1) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.35$ (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.35 (m, 25H), 5.37 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 4.94 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.87 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.78 (d, 2H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 4.71 $(dd, 1H, J = 10.0, 12.0 Hz), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, J = 14.2 Hz)$ 12.4 Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.47 (d, 1H, $J = 12.8$ Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.16 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.2$, 12.0 Hz), 4,01 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.61–3.88 (m, 7H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.45 (d, 2H, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.40 (t, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 153.6, 138.4 (2C), 137.9, 137.7, 137.1, 128.44, 128.42, 128.40, 128.38, 128.1, 128.0, 127.91, 127.87, 127.85, 127.7, 127.6, 104.6, 94.4, 84.6, 82.2, 80.1, 77.2, 77.1, 75.6, 74.8, 74.5, 74.3, 73.5, 72.7, 72.2, 67.4, 66.6, 57.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{56}NO_{12}$ [M + NH4]⁺ 850.3797, found 850.3799.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (39 β). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18g by protocol A afforded major product 39β (83% yield, $\alpha/\beta = 1.5$) as a foam: $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate, 1:1); ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.93−7.98 (m, 4H), 7.86 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.49−7.52 (m, 2H), 7.34−7.43 (m, 5H), 7.26−7.33 (m, 10H), 7.22−7.24 (m, 2H), 6.17 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.46 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.22–5.27 (m, 1H), 5.24 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 4.93 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.56 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.46 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.27−4.34 (m, 2H), 4.04 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0, 11.6 Hz), 3.99 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 11.6 Hz), 3.95 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.82 (dd, 1H, J = 6.8, 11.6 Hz), 3.66−3.73 (m, 2H), 3.57−3.61 (m, 1H), 3.48 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 165.7, 165.4, 153.4, 137.8, 136.8, 133.5, 133.3, 133.1, 129.91, 129.87, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.12, 128.10, 127.7, 100.0, 96.8, 83.2, 78.0, 73.9, 73.5, 72.9, 72.0, 70.3, 69.7, 69.0, 68.4, 68.1, 55.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{47}O_{15}$ [M + H]⁺ 875.2910, found 875.2910.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (39 α). The coupling of donor 3 with acceptor 18g by protocol B afforded 39α (79% yield, α/β > 20:1) as a foam: R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.86–7.92 (m, 4H), 7.48−7.53 (m, 2H), 7.23−7.44 (m, 17H), 6.14 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.57 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 5.29 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 5.26 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 5.22 (t, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.87 (dd, 1H, $J = 9.6$, 11.6 Hz), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.52 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J =$ 12.0 Hz), 4.39 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.23–4.27 (m, 1H), 4.18 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 12.0 Hz), 4.02 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.95 (dd, 1H, J = 5.6, 11.2 Hz), 3.73−3.78 (m, 2H), 3.67 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 10.8 Hz), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J = 2.0, 10.8 Hz), 3.45 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8 (2C), 165.3, 153.5, 137.7, 137.1, 133.5, 133.4, 133.1, 129.93, 129.89, 129.7, 129.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.5, 128.44, 128.40, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 97.0, 94.7, 80.1, 77.1, 74.6, 73.5, 72.8, 72.6, 72.0, 70.3, 69.2, 68.2, 67.3, 67.0, 55.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{50}NO_{15}$ [M + NH4] ⁺ 892.3180, found 892.3183.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (22 α). The coupling of donor 6 with acceptor 18a by protocol B afforded 22α (78% yield) as a colorless glassy solid: $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +15.0 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22−7.37 (m, 25H), 5.30 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.88 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.73 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8, 12.0 Hz), 4.65 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.63 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.0$, 12.0 Hz), 4.58 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz)$, 4.54 $(d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz)$, 4.52 $(d, 1H, J = 11.6)$ Hz), 4.46 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.40 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.20 (s, 1H), 3.99 (t, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz), 3.89 (t, 1H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 3.74 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.8$, 12.0 Hz), 3.79 (s, 1H), 3.71−3.76 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 8.8 Hz), 3.50−3.53 (m, 2H), 3.44 (t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.4, 138.6, 138.1, 137.6, 137.1, 128.5, 128.42, 128.38, 128.1, 128.0, 127.91, 127.85, 127.74, 127.69, 127.6, 98.0, 95.6, 82.0, 80.1, 77.1, 75.7, 74.8, 74.5, 73.9, 73.5, 72.9, 70.6, 69.9, 67.9, 66.9, 55.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{56}NO_{12}$ $[M + NH_4]^+$: 850.3797, found 850.3796. Anal. Calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}$: C, 70.66; H, 6.29. Found: C, 70.40; H, 6.43.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α- and β-D-galactopyranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (40 α and 40β). The coupling of donor ⁶ with acceptor 18b by protocol B afforded a mixture of 40 α and 40 β (73% yield, $\alpha/\beta = 3:1$), both of them are colorless glassy solids. For 40α : $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +5.0 (c 1.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.34 (m, 23H), 7.18–7.0 (m, 2H), 5.85 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.75 (d, 2H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.70 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.2$, 12.0 Hz), 4.62 (d, 2H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.58 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.48 (d, 1H, J $= 11.6$ Hz), 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.34 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.28 $(d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz)$, 4.08 (s, 1H), 3.96 (t, 1H, 8.8 Hz), 3.76–3.84 (m, 3H), 3.58−3.65 (m, 2H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 9.6 Hz), 3.45 (t, 1H, 7.6 Hz), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.35−3.38 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz,

CDCl3) δ 153.4, 138.3, 138.1, 137.9, 137.6, 137.0, 128.5, 128.44, 128.37, 128.3, 128.13, 128.11, 128.08, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 97.8, 96.0, 81.4, 80.1, 75.7, 75.4, 74.1, 73.9, 73.5, 73.3, 73.1, 72.9, 71.2, 69.3, 69.2, 68.0, 55.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 855.3351, found 855.3358. For 40 β : $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –1.3 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.18−7.21 (m, 5H), 7.25−7.35 (m, 20H), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, $J =$ 13.2 Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.73 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.62 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.49 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.37 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$, 12.0 Hz), 4.30 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.22 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.06 (s, 1H), 3.80−3.89 (m, 3H), 3.71 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.60 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6, 10.8$ Hz), 3.50 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6, 9.2$ Hz), 3.46 (t, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 3.43 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 12.0 Hz), 3.35–3.40 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.20 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 8.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2, 139.2, 138.1, 137.8, 137.7, 137.3, 128.8, 128.5, 128.42, 128.39, 128.10, 128.05, 128.02, 127.97, 127.9, 127.84, 127.81, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 100.6, 98.4, 80.9, 79.9, 79.0, 78.1, 76.1, 75.3, 74.9, 73.8, 73.6, 73.4, 71.4, 69.3, 67.5, 67.1, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{56}NO_{12}$ [M + NH_4 ⁺ 850.3797, found 850.3796. Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₅₂O₁₂: C, 70.66; H, 6.29. Found: C, 70.38; H, 6.40.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl- α - and β-p-galactopyranosyl)-(1→2)-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -p-glucopyranoside (41 α and **41β**). The coupling of donor 6 with acceptor 18d by protocol B afforded a mixture of 41 α and 41 β (78% yield, $\alpha/\beta = 5:1$), both of them as colorless glassy solids. For 41α : $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +17.6 (c 2.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19−7.36 (m, 23H), 7.12−7.14 (m, 2H), 5.32 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.92 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.70–4.75 (m, 3H), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.49– 4.53 (m, 3H), 4.45 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.38 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.32 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.01–4.05 (m, 1H), 3.98 (t, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.84 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.0 Hz), 3.81 (br.s, 1H), 3.74−3.77 (m, 2H), 3.65−3.70 (m, 2H), 3.47 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 9.6 Hz), 3.38−3.42 (m, 1H), 3,41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.3, 138.5, 138.0, 137.88, 137.85, 137.1, 128.41, 128.39, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.74, 127.65, 127.6, 127.5, 96.6, 93.1, 80.8, 78.4, 77.1, 75.8, 75.6, 74.9, 74.3, 73.8, 73.6, 73.1, 72.9, 70.5, 70.3, 68.3, 67.8, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 855.3351, found 855.3356. For 41 β : $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +2.7 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24–7.38 (m, 23H), 7.14−7.16 (m, 2H), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.86 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.84 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.82 $(d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz)$, 4.79 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz)$, 4.65 $(dd, 1H, J = 8.0$, 12.0 Hz), 4.62 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.49 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.46 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.43 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.16 (brs, 1H), 4.02 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 12.0 Hz), 4.01 (t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.72−3.78 (m, 3H), 3.60−3.68 (m, 4H), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 8.4 Hz), 3.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 153.1, 138.3, 138.1, 137.9, 137.4, 137.0, 128.51, 128.45, 128.4, 128.2, 128.13, 128.12, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.73, 127.71, 101.6, 99.3, 81.4, 81.3, 80.1, 77.9, 75.8, 75.05, 75.01, 73.9, 73.6, 73.5, 71.4, 70.1, 68.4, 67.7, 55.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 855.3351, found 855.3353.

Methyl (4,6-Di-O-benzyl-2,3-O-carbonyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)-2,4,6$ -tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (42 α). The coupling of donor 6 with acceptor 18e by protocol B afforded 42α (71% yield, α/β > 20:1) as a foam: $R_f = 0.45$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 1.5:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +9.1 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.21−7.35 (m, 23H), 7.11−7.14 (m, 2H), 5.68 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 4.75 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 12.0 Hz), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.68 (d, 1H, $J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.65 (d, 1H, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 4.65 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 14.8 Hz), 4.64 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 4.44 $(d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz)$, 4.36 $(d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz)$, 4.31 $(d, 1H, J = 11.6$ Hz), 4.25 (t, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.11−4.16 (m, 2H), 3.75 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 10.8 Hz), 3.63−3.71 (m, 3H), 3.48−3.53 (m, 3H), 3.29 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ 153.7, 138.0, 137.7, 137.5 (2C), 137.3, 128.6, 128.48, 128.46, 128.41, 128.39, 128.3, 128.2, 128.10,

128.05, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 97.5, 95.5, 78.5, 78.2, 77.5, 77.2, 74.6 (2C), 73.9, 73.7, 73.11 (2C), 73.05, 70.1, 69.8, 68.0, 67.5, 55.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{49}H_{52}O_{12}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 855.3351, found 855.3355.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

NMR spectra for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xinshan@bjmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no compe](mailto:xinshan@bjmu.edu.cn)ting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21102008) and the "973" grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2012CB822100).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Boltje, T. J.; Buskas, T.; Boons, G.-J. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 611− 622.
- (2) (a) Schmidt, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 212− 235. (b) Zhu, X. M.; Schmidt, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1900−1934.
- (3) Demchenko, A. V. Handbook of Chemical Glycosylation; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008.
- (4) Davis, B. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 2137−2160.
- (5) Demchenko, A. V. Curr. Org. Chem. 2003, 7, 35−79.

(6) Lemieux, R. U.; Hendriks, K. B.; Stick, R. V.; James, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4056−4062.

(7) Paulsen, H.; Lockhoff, O. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 3102−3114.

- (8) Vankar, D.; Vankar, P. S.; Behrendt, M.; Schmidt, R. R. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 9985−9992.
- (9) (a) Chao, C.-S.; Li, C.-W.; Chen, M.-C.; Chang, S.-S.; Mong, K.- K. T. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 10972−10982. (b) Chao, C.-S.; Lin, C.-Y.; Mulani, S.; Hung, W.-C.; Mong, K.-K. T. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12193−12202.
- (10) (a) Lemieux, R. U. Pure. Appl. Chem. 1971, 25, 527−548. (b) Wulff, G.; Rohle, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1974, 13, 157− 170. (c) Demchenko, A. V.; Stauch, T.; Boons, G.-J. Synlett 1997, 818−820.

(11) Jung, K.-H.; Muller, M.; Schmidt, R. R. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 4423−4442.

(12) Fairbanks, A. J. Synlett 2003, 1945−1958.

- (13) (a) Crich, D.; Sun, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4506−4507. (b) Crich, D.; Sun, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 435−436.
- (14) (a) Weingart, R.; Schmidt, R. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 8753−8758. (b) Abdel-Rahman, A. A.-H.; Jonke, S.; El Ashry, E. S. H.; Schmidt, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2972−2974.
- (15) (a) Benakli, K.; Zha, C.; Kerns, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9461−9462. (b) Boysen, M.; Gemma, E.; Lahmann, M.; Oscarson, S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3044−1046. (c) Manabe, S.; Ishii, K.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10666−10667. (d) Geng, Y.; Zhang, L.-H.; Ye, X.-S. Chem. Commun. 2008, 597−599.
- (16) (a) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7124−7125. (b) Crich, D.; Wu, B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4033−4035.
- (17) Zhu, T.; Boons, G.-J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4201−4203.
- (18) (a) Crich, D.; Cai, W.; Dai, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 1291− 1297. (b) Crich, D.; Vinod, A. U.; Picione, J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8453−8458. (c) Crich, D.; Jayalath, P. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7252−

7259. (d) Crich, D.; Navuluri, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3049−3052.

- (19) Lu, Y.-S.; Li, Q.; Zhang, L.-H.; Ye, X.-S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3445−3448.
- (20) Crich, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1144−1153.
- (21) (a) Kim, J.-H.; Yang, H.; Boons, G.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 947−949. (b) Kim, J.-H.; Yang, H.; Park, J.; Boons, G.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12090−12097. (c) Park, J.; Kawatkar, S.; Kim, J.-H.; Boons, G.-J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1959−1962. (d) Boltje, T. J.; Kim, J.-H.; Park, J.; Boons, G.-J. Nature Chem. 2010, 2, 552−557.
- (22) Wang, Y.; Ye, X.-S.; Zhang, L.-H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 2189−2200.

(23) The "preactivation" protocol was conducted in a manner where the glycosyl donor was completely activated and consumed (by TLC detection) prior to the addition of a glycosyl acceptor.

- (24) Huang, X.; Huang, L.; Wang, H.; Ye, X.-S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5221−5224.
- (25) (a) Geng, Y.; Zhang, L.-H.; Ye, X.-S. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 4949−4958. (b) Geng, Y.; Ye, X.-S. Synlett 2010, 2506−2512.
- (26) Lu, S.-R.; Lai, Y.-H.; Chen, J.-H.; Liu, C.-Y.; Mong, K.-K. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7315−7320.
- (27) Ellervik, U.; Grundberg, H.; Magnusson, G. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9323−9338.
- (28) Chen, C.-T.; Weng, S.-S.; Kao, J.-Q.; Lin, C.-C.; Jan, M.-D. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3343−3346.
- (29) Kuester, J. M.; Dyong, I. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1975, 2179− 2189.
- (30) Wang, C.; Wang, H.; Huang, X.; Zhang, L.-H.; Ye, X.-S. Synlett 2006, 2846−2850.

(31) (a) Garcia, B. A.; Poole, J. L.; Gin, D. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7597−7598. (b) Codee, J. D. C.; Litjens, R. E. J. N.; den Heeten, R.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van Boom, J. H.; van der Marel, G. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1519−1522.

(32) Crich, D.; Smith, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9015−9020. (33) Donors 2 and 5 can be completely activated by BSM/Tf_2O or BSP/Tf₂O at about -40 °C, and the glycosylation yields are a little bit lower than that of using $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ as promoter.

- (34) Olsson, J. D.; Eriksson, L.; Lahmann, M.; Oscarson, S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7181−7188.
- (35) (a) Manabe, S.; Ishii, K.; Hashizume, D.; Koshino, H.; Ito, Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 6894-6901. (b) Satoh, H.; Hutter, J.; Lüthi, H. P.; Manabe, S.; Ishii, K.; Ito, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 1127− 1131. (c) Satoh, H.; Manabe, S.; Ito, Y.; Lüthi, H. P.; Laino, T.;
- Hutter, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5610−5619. (36) Garegg, P. J.; Hultberg, H. Carbohydr. Res. 1981, 93, C10−C11.
- (37) Ek, M.; Garegg, J.; Hultberg, H.; Oscarson, S. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1983, 2, 305−311.
- (38) Sollogoub, M.; Das, S. K.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinay, P. C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. 2 1999, 2, 441−448.
- (39) Koto, S.; Takebe, Y.; Zen, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 291−293.
- (40) Keglević, D.; Ljevaković, D. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1978, 64, 319−322.
- (41) Frechet, J. M. J.; Haque, K. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 3055−
- 3056. (42) Niu, Y.; Wang, N.; Cao, X.; Ye, X.-S. Synlett 2007, 2116−2120.